App. F. IX-4

1925.6. S&A File No. 30.25.

(S. & A.)

Previous References

S&A 19.18.1.4

Serial Nos. 20, 27, 21, 27, 30, 31, & 33.

Later References

S&A. 127-31-16

" 8-39 (1-15)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Six

Part B for July 1927

SUBJECT

Re the transfer of Sarjapur Jahgir Pension of Rs 1.4.0 drawn late Kohi deen Pai in the name of Lance Naik Khasim Khan

—

Secn 33. To The Comptroller & Cent.
The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore

No PL 700-17 23.7.27

Directs the revival of the pension which was drawn by late Khadir Pai & which was directed should lapse & sanctions its payment to Khasim Khan with effect from the date of this order.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | S&A. File No. 30 of 1925.

Serial No 20.

From the Dy: Comr: Bangalore
Letter No: 1197 & 30/8/1926.
forwards a report with records.

It is said that the petitioner is the only surviving son & heir of the late Mohadeen Bi. The claim is therefore uncontested. Subd: for orders.

By 9/9 JPK 9/9/26.

2. Where are the papers granting the pension to Mahadin Bi

By
10/9

13/9

The P.P.O. is in this collection — Flag W.

3. In tracing the old manuscript files, it has been found that the pension of Mohadin Bi has been ordered to lapse to Govt: in Go. no: 7E 3601-2 & 27/1/ S&A. 19-18-4,

4. Though the Go has been communicated to the Dy: Com: Bangalore he has not made any reference to it in his communication to Govt: Now. On 6th Sept: 1918 in his letter No: C. 4403 the D.C. Bangalore wrote to Govt: that Mohadin Bee died issueless and that her husband's brother was the only claimant on 7th Sept: 1913. On this report, Govt: ordered in their Procs. & 27/1/1919 that the pension should lapse to Govt:. In the present report the D.C. Bangalore says that the pet: Khasim Khan "who is the son of the late Mohadeen Bibi is the only heir entitled to succeed to the vacant pension" These are contradictory reports ~~& are misleading. The Dy: Com: presumably has not a copy of~~ When the petition of Khasim Khan was recei

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

C179 — 96

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App. F. III—2

SM File No. 30 of 1925.

Serial No

here & a report was called for from the D.C the Govt. ~~no~~ of the 27th Janv. 1919 was not referred to here either. These were therefore misleading.

5. Since the pension has been ordered to lapse to Govt., there is no pension available now for being granted. If approved, the Hy Comr's attention may be invited to his letter dy 6/9/1918 and asked to report which of his statements is correct — whether Mohadin Bi died issueless as reported then or whether Khasim Khan, the pet^r is her son as reported now. Subd for orders.

By. 14/9 JMK 14/9/26.

6 This is a very peculiar case. The D.C. Bangalore reported in Sept. 1918 that the pensioner Mohadin Bibi died on 7th Sept. 1913, that the only claimant to the pension was her husband's brother Rahiman Khan, Pensioned Havaldar and forwarded the vernacular papers and connected records to Government. It was then ordered in G.O.No.Fl.3601-2/S & A 19-18-4 dated 27th January 1919 that the pension may lapse to Government.

7 Subsequently an application has been preferred by one Khasim Khan who alleges himself to be the son of the late Mohideen Bibi and this was sent to the Deputy Commissioner, for report. It is very curious that in forwarding his report on this application to Government the D.C. does not refer to the previous records of enquiry held by him in 1918 leading to the G.O. of January 1919 nor to the Government Orders passed thereon; but simply forwards the papers dorsing the opinion of the Sub Division Officer. In this connection kind attention is invited to

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

SHA File No 30 of 1925.

Serial No.

Flag I

statement of Rahiman Khan, pensioner havaldar before the Amildar on 20th April 1926, and to his statement before the Amildar on 23rd April 1918. In the case before us in 1918 this Rahiman Khan was himself the claimant and in portion marked (A) in his statement he stated as under:-

× × × × × ×

ಈ ಕೆಗೆ ಅಬ್ಬಿ ಮಗ ಮಂಕ್ಕ ವಬ್ಬಿ ಮಗಳು ಅಂಬಿದ್ದ ಅವರೂ ಸಹ ಸುದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಂಡ ದಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿ ಅಂಬರು ವಾಗಲೇ ಮ್ಮಾಕೆ ವೆಟ್ಟಿ ರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಹೂಲಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳಾಂಗಲಿ, ಗಂಡುಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಲಿ ಇರುವದಿಲ್ಲಾ.

8 In his statement made now he gives quite a different version and alleges that the present petitioner is the son of the deceased (Vide portion marked B) :

Flag I

× × × × × ×

ಈಚೆಗೆ ಮೊಂಡದಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿ ಅಂಬು ಕಾಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇವರಿಗೆ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಗಂಡುಮಕ್ಕಳಿದ್ದರು ಹೂಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬವು ಕಾಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಉಳಿದವನೇ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಇಂನಿಸ್ಸಂ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ ಇವನೇ ಬಹಳ ಚಿಕ್ಕವನಿದ್ದಾಗ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ವಾರಸು ದಾರನು.

9 These statements are absolutely contradictory and no reliance can be placed on the evidence of such a man. As already ordered the pension may lapse to Government. I would suggest that the D.C. Bangalore may be asked to report why in the records of enquiry now sent he makes no mention of the previous enquiry and that why no reference is made to the ~~there are~~ such glaring discrepencies in the statement taken down. I enquired from a friend of mine whether for ~~how~~ such false statements before the Amildar the party could not be prosecuted; but I understand that as the statement was not a sworn one nothing can be done.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
17 OCT. 1926
No. 545

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

B
17/10

received 9.10.26

Serial No.

10 The D.C's attention may be drawn to the previous report stating that the accused client's witnesses and he may be asked to explain the discrepancy.

11 Reference may also be made to the Confidential Statements of Salimanikham. After this report as regards the continuance of the pension which has been kept stopped light may be called for

16.10.26

12 Off.

19/10

13 Dy. Sec'y

By W. 22/10

14 Issue

By 23/10

21 To the D.C. Bangalore - Letter No. H 3267 & 27/10/26. Requests him to explain the discrepancy & returns the papers for resubmission with a further report.

From the Dy. Com'r, Bangalore. Letter No. C.3.1197 By 21.3.1927 forwards a report in the matter of pensions.

15 There are still inconsistencies in the report. It is said that Mohidin Bi died on 20th Sept. 1913 but from the death certificate it is evident that she died on the 7th Sept. 1913. Rahimkhan has stated in his statement dated 23.4.1918 that Mohidin Bee had one son as

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

one daughter both of whom predeceased their mother. Rahimkhan in that Statement claimed the pension as the only claimant to the pension after Mohideen Bee's death. The same person in his Statement dated 24-1-27 says that Mohadin Bee had two sons, one of whom died and the other is Khasim Khan, the petitioner. Nothing is said of the daughter mentioned in his previous Statement. If, as stated in Rahimkh Statement of 23-4-1918. Khasim Khan had died during the life time of Mohideen Bee, how is it possible for Khasim Khan to have gone to War, as stated by Rahimkhan now. Under these circumstances, no reliance can be placed on the statements of Rahim Khan. ~~He tried to get the pension to himself by making out a case that he was the only claimant.~~ Since he failed in his attempt to get the pension for himself and as it was ordered that the pension should lapse to Govt., (it works as though he tries to abjure what he said previously and has offered to give up his claims, if any. (vide his Statement D/20/4/26).

16. In the Maharajas, it is said that Khasim Khan is the only son of Mohadin Bee

17. It is for orders whether a more satisfactory evidence of the identity & parentage of Khasim Khan is not necessary, for instance a birth certificate. Subn for orders.

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

SNA File No 30 of 1925.

Serial No.

18. The enquiry has been made by the Amildar only & not by the S.Do or the Dc even though the previous enquiry pointed to incorrect statements having been made.

19. By the one person & that too the person who has given the incorrect statement has been examined & his statement is halting & evasive

20. There is therefore no reason to reconsider the orders already passed lapsing pension.

21. The only point is whether the Dc's attention should not be invited to the perfunctory manner in which the case has been dealt although they have taken several months over it

Ba
14/4

Ferd
14/4

22. The Dc may be addressed again and a further report may be called for with his definite recommendation — The report now sent is incomplete — h
14/4

23. Draft
Ba

14/4

Sec A.
22/4

264 Submitted with a draft
25 forms
Ba
24/4

GPS
18.4.27

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
14 APR. 192
No. 5987

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S+G. ~~of 192~~ .30-25.

Serial No.	
30.	To The Dy. Comm. B'lore Dt: H. No: 8114 dated 22.4.27 requesting him to furnish a detailed report with a definite recommendation.
31	From the D.C. B'lore Dt. No: C3-1197 of 27/28.6.27 submits the records in original wherein the reason for the discrepancy in the two statements given by Khasim Khan is fully explained and recommends that the pension of Rs1-4-0 drawn by Mohidin Bibi may be ordered to be continued in the name of Khasim Khan
26	The Dy: Comm^r states that the pension drawn by Mohidin Bi may be made out in the name of Khasim Khan
27.	The deposition of Rahim Khan the brother of the deceased Mahomed Khan (brother of Mohidin Bi's husband) is flagged V which explained the discrepancy.
	Subd. with ref^e to para 22 of Memo
28.	The

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

11/7/27

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

13

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

pension may be made out in the name of Hasan Khan as recommended by the D C. The discrepancy in the Sl-b: has been explained.

Jt Secy PW 14/2/27

667/14.7.27

29. Pension order may be drafted

4/4/7

30. Draft PW 15/7/27

31. Submitted with a draft Govt. for Consideration

32 The amount of pension drawn by Mohidin Bi & lapsed to Govt: is Rs 1-7-3 and not Rs 1-6-0 as stated in P.M.D.

GS 16/7/27

Jt Secy (for appl) PW 14/2/27.

~~The late Mohidin Bi~~

33. The last pension Mohidin Bi died in 1913 - I do not think there is sufficient justification for the payment of the arrears of 14 years.

34 In view of the D C's recommendation

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 20 JUNE 1927 No. 982

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

13/2

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

The pension was the cost may be Continued to the sole and the revival may have effect from the date of the order.
35 Draft for approval.
2nd member
20/7/27

36) Mem 22/7/27 22/7/27

37 Issue 27/7 27/7/27

NOTED 21/7

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 JULY 1927
No. 464

1112 22/7

33 To The Comptroller.
" Dy: Comm. Bangalore
H:700-1 & 23.7.27
Directs the revival of the pension of Rs 1-7-3 which was drawn by late Mohadin Bi & which was directed should lapse & Sanctions its payment to Khasim Khan with effect from the date of this order.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

D 960
11360

DIARY NO.

1925 – 1926
Section: SA
No. 30825.
Serial No. 20.

No.C-3-1197/25. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore the 30th August 1926.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT. 1. SEP. 1926

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 30. AUG. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.Fl.3638 dated 23rd December 1925 forwarding copy of endorsement dated 23rd idem issued to one Lance Naik Khasim Khan for the transfer of Sarjapur pension of Rs.1-4-0 drawn by his late mother Mohideen Bibi, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Anekal Taluk, after holding necessary enquiries reports that the petitioner Khasim Khan, who is the son of the late Mohideen Bibi is the only heir entitled to succeed to the vacant pension.

2. The entire file of records of enquiry ending with Dodballapur Sub-Division officer's memo No.A-1-361/26-27 dated 19th August 1926 is submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section SSA.

File No. 30-A3

Serial No. 21.

No. H 3267/Ch

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Dy: Com^{r}
Bangalore Dt.
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

Date of issue 27.10.26

Signed by J.M.B.S.R.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C-3-1197/25 dated 30th Aug. 1926 recommending the grant of ~~the disposal of~~ the Sarjapur pension drawn by the late Mohideen Bibe, I am directed to invite your attention to your office letter No. mis. 4403 dated 6th/7th Sep^{r} 1918 stating that Mohadin Bi died issueless on 7th Sep^{r} 1913 and to request you to explain the discrepan

to Khasim Khan "Who is the son of late Mohadin Bibe"

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

I am to
~~I am directed to~~ also invite reference
to the statement
recorded in this office on 23/4/1918 in
connection with 20-4-1918.
In these circumstances I am to request you to submit
a further report as regards
the circumstances of the person
who has been reported to Govt. (vide
this office No. 7.3601-2/50M.19-18-4
dated 24/4/1919) ~~may be held~~ for
the orders of Govt.
It is requested that the
case file may be returned to
this office as also the statement
recorded in this office on 23/4/1918
as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
22/10
for Deputy Secretary
to Govt.
22/10

No.C-3-1197-25
Enc.one file.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 21st March 1927.

DIARY NO. 3978

1925 – 1926

FINANCIAL BRANCH
25. MAR. 1927

From

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

4924
24/3

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.3267/S & A.30-25-21 dated 27th October 1926 regarding the grant of Sarjapur Pension drawn by the late Mohidin Bibi, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Anekal Taluk, reports that it is ascertained from the statement of RahimKhan that Mohidin Bibi died on the 20th September 1913 and that the pension may be continued in the name of Khasim Khan.

2. Rahimkhan in his statement explains that as KhasimKhan who had gone into the Great War in the year 1913 had not returned till 1918 and that even his whereabouts were not known he (RahimKhan) has made his statement on 23rd April 1918 to the effect that KhasimKhan might have died etc.

3. The statement of Rahim Khan dated 24th January 1927 made before the Amildar Anekal Taluk and the entire records received with your letter under reply are resubmitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

[APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section SM

File No. 30. 25

Serial No. 30.

No. H8114/ with ends

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Depy: Comm^r.

Bangalore Distt

Date of issue 12.4.27

Signed by M.E.B.

Sir,

Re- disposal of the Sarjapur pension drawn by the late Mohidin Bi

In inviting reference to the Correspondence en with your letter no: C-3 -25 of 21st Mar: 1927 the subject referred to ab I am directed to obser the report now sent i

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 12-4-27.

Examined by.....

that

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

incomplete and to request
you to furnish ~~an exhaustive~~ a detailed
report with your definite
recommendations. The
records are herewith
sent for reference and
return.

I have etc.

Bazlm

For Fin: Secy:
to Govt:
Gs.
18.4.27

In all future communications please quote—
No.....C3-1197/25
Enc. 1 file.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the.....27th June.....192 7.

FROM G.B. Heblikar, Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,
~~S.KRISHNASWAMY,ESQ.,M.A.,B.L.~~,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
To
1 JULY 1927
No. 15

B. Sreenivasiengar, Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

DIARY NO. 3
1926 - 1926
Section
File No.
Serial No. 31

~~SUBJECT~~

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. Fl. 8114/S&A 30-25 dated 22nd April 1927 regarding the disposal of the Sarjapur Pension drawn by the late Mohidin Bibi, I have the honor to submit herewith in original the entire file of records received with Doddaballapur Sub Division Officer's letter No. A2-970/26-27 dated 28th May 1927, wherein the reason for the discrepancy in the two statements given by Khasim Khan is fully explained, as stated by him.

As Khasim Khan is reported to be the ~~only~~ only heir of the deceased Mohidin Bibi, the pension drawn by her Viz., Rs. 1-4-0 may be ordered to be continued in the name of Khasim Khan.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
(absent from the Station)

No. A2 970
A61/7/27

Office of the Sub Division Officer,
Dodballapur Sub Division,
Dated, Bangalore, 28th May 1927.

From

C.Suryanarayana Sastri, Esq.,
Sub Division Officer,
Dodballapur Sub Division.

C3-1197-25
2-6-27



C3
183

To

G.B.Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Sir,

In reply to your No.C.3-1197-25 dated 27th April 1927, calling for a detailed report with definite recommendations in the matter of the grant of Sarjapur pension drawn by the late Mohiden Bibi to Khasim Khan I have the honor to state, that a notice was issued to one Rahim Khan, pensioned Havildar of the C & M Station, Bangalore, said to be the brother of the deceased Mohamed Khan ~~alias~~ wuff Goodukhan to appear before me on or before 25th May 1927 for recording his statement.

The statements given by him before the Amildar of Anekal Taluk on 23-4-1918 & 20-4-26 were read over to him and after they were admitte to be correct by him, he was asked to explain why there was discrepancy in the two statements referred to above.

The statement given before the undersigned on 25-5-27 goes to show that Khasim Khan son of Mohidin Bee, had a younger brother AhmedKhan and a younger sister Basidkhatu who both died during the 1st plague about the year 1898 and so there existed the descrepancy between the two statements. That Khasim Khan is the only survivor among the members of the family, that he has a wife and chiddren that he is the sole hakdar to the pension of the deceased Mohidin Bee and that Khasim's sister and brother died without issue.

As I have already submitted a report in My No.A1-361/26-27 dated 19-8-26 and as Rahim Khan has no objection for the grant of the pension to Khasimkhan, a reference may kindly be made to Government to continue the pension drawn by the late Mohudin Bee, to Khasimkhan, the only heir entitled to succeed to the vacant pension.

The connected records in original are herewith submitted for your kind perusal.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. Madhaverao
Sub Division Officer,
Dodballapur Sub Division.

PS

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....13/R.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue.....23.7.27 P.

Signed by.....

Section S & S

File No. 30-9

Serial No. 33

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— G.O. No. R 3601-2/S 19-18-4 dated 27.1.1919 directing that the vacant pension of Rs 1-7-3 drawn by late Mohidin Bi, a Sarjapur Jahgir Stipendiary, should be suspended pending

Petition dated 3.9.25 from Khasim Khan, Lance Naik No: 3868 in Victoria Sappers & Miners, C & M Station BG praying for the transfer of the pension drawn by his mother Mohidin Bi to his name

May this be

i Printed ? (printed Urdu & Tamil text)

ii treated as a standing order ? N

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Correspondence ending with letter no: C_3-1197/25 dated 28.6.27 from the Dy: Comm^r, Bangalore Dt: ~~forwarding~~ stating that as Khasim Khan is reported to be the only heir of the deceased Mohidin Bi, the pension drawn by her may be ~~ordered to be~~ Continued in his name.

Order no: H700-1 dated 23.7.27
Exts G.O. 6.8.6. Recd

~~In the circumstances~~ ~~Govt: are pleased to direct that~~ ~~explained~~, the pension of Rs 1-7-3 drawn by Mohidin Bi and lapsed to Govt: in the G.O: read above ~~may be~~ be Continued in the name of Khasim Khan.

To The Comptroller ~~pl~~ H: Secy. to Govt:

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21